

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 4260-12/2024

Muhammad Ahmed Sultan

Vs

National Engineering Service of Pakistan (NESPAK)

November 12, 2025

Munir Ahmed Advocate appeared on behalf of the applicant.

Saif Ur Rahman, Focal Person NESPAK appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 11-11-2025, has furnished the remaining information as mentioned in the order dated 16-10-2025, except for the information cited at Serial No. f, g, and j of the information request.
2. The said deficiency was pointed out by the learned counsel for the applicant after reviewing the response of the public body and the documents furnished today.
3. Saif Ur Rahman, Focal Person, NESPAK, has assured that the remaining documents mentioned at Serial No. f, g, and j of the information request will be shared with the applicant within a period of 30 days.
4. On the assurance of the public body, the learned counsel for the applicant has expressed satisfaction and submitted that he has no objection if the appeal is disposed of accordingly.
5. In view of the above, Saif Ur Rahman, Focal Person, NESPAK, is directed to share the remaining information with the applicant within 30 days. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 4598-03/2025

Kashif Shahzad Khan

Vs

Pakistan Electronic Media Regulatory Authority (PEMRA)

November 12, 2025 Umair Azim, Director (Ops) and Khalid Mehmood Director (Legal),
PEMRA appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. As far as the required information is concerned, it has been submitted by the public body and was shared with the applicant vide notice dated 13-10-2025 under RGL No. 154262247. The applicant, through his email dated 26-10-2025, has expressed satisfaction over the information furnished by the public body.

2. Reply to the show cause notice has been submitted with the signature of the Executive Member, PEMRA. It has been stated that under Section 16(a) of the PEMRA Delegation of Regulation, 2023, the Executive Member may exercise all delegated powers conferred upon the Chairman in case of his absence or when the office of the Chairman is vacant. Since the office of the Chairman is presently vacant, the reply has been submitted by the Executive Member, PEMRA.

3. The Executive Member, PEMRA, in reply to the show cause notice, has tendered an unconditional apology and assured timely compliance with the orders of the Commission in the future. The explanation furnished in response to the show cause notice is found satisfactory; hence, the show cause notice is withdrawn.

4. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 4505-02/2025

Kashif Shahzad Khan

Vs

Senate of Pakistan

November 12, 2025 Muhammad Javed Iqbal, Deputy Director, Senate Secretariat appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 22-10-2025 under RGL No. 154262624. The applicant vide email dated 01-11-2025 has expressed satisfaction over the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 4757-06/2025

Munir Ahmad

Vs

National Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (NEECA)

November 12, 2025 Applicant present in person. Muhammad Usman, proxy counsel of Umar Sajjad Chawan Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Reply to the show cause notice has been furnished with the signature of the Managing Director, NEECA, explaining that due to an inadvertent mistake, the counsel for the public body could not appear on the scheduled date of hearing, for which an unconditional apology has been tendered. In view of the explanation furnished by the public body, the show cause notice is withdrawn.

2. The public body, in its written reply, has taken the plea that the minutes of the meeting required by the applicant have not yet been confirmed by the Board and are therefore exempted under Section 7(b) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. A copy of the written reply was shared with the applicant, who, vide letter dated 12-11-2025, filed a rejoinder alleging that the plea of the public body is false and misleading and requested that action be taken against the concerned officer under Section 20 of the Act.

3. In the rejoinder, the applicant also raised an objection that the written reply was not signed by the counsel for the public body. The Commission, upon examination, noted that the written reply bears the signature of the Director (SMO), NEECA; hence, the absence of the counsel's signature does not render it invalid or unacceptable.

4. The Commission has observed that the public body has furnished its written reply with due responsibility. However, if the applicant believes that the response is false or misleading, he may file a complaint under Section 20 of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.

5. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. A copy of this order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 4901-08/2025

Sania Shahid

Vs

Federal Investigation Agency (FIA)

November 12, 2025 Munir Ahmed Advocate appeared on behalf of the applicant. Muhammad Sajjad, Deputy Director (Law), FIA appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Written reply has been furnished by the public body vide letter dated 05-11-2025, and the status/conclusion of the misconduct, fake findings against Hamayun Sandhu, and conclusion of the sensitive CTW files theft have been stated. Copy of the written reply has been handed over to the learned counsel for the applicant, who has expressed satisfaction therewith. Hence, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 4949-09/2025

Ameera Adil

Vs

Capital Development Authority (CDA)

November 12, 2025

None was present on behalf of the public body despite the issuance of show cause notice to the Chairman, CDA, on 23-10-2025 under RGL 154262586. However, Khalid Mehmood Khan, Additional Legal Advisor, Metropolitan Corporation Islamabad, appeared. When asked which organization he represents, he informed the Commission that he represents MCI. The appeal is against CDA, and the show cause notice was issued to the Chairman, CDA, on account of failure to respond despite the issuance of two earlier notices. This is a serious matter. The Chairman, CDA, to whom the show cause notice was issued, has conveniently ignored it, has not submitted a reply under his hand, and none of his representatives were present before the Commission.

2. Asif Ali Khan, Deputy Director, Municipal Administration, MCI, was present on the direction of the CDA Board/Public Information Officer, CDA.

3. He submitted that he has not brought a reply to the show cause notice. He states that he is aware that the show cause notice is being issued to the Chairman, CDA, by this Commission. He further states that he is in possession of the information request which is the subject of this appeal and requests a short adjournment to submit reply to the show cause notice as well as the required information.

4. He also stated that he is working in the MCI and has provided information on a similar request in the appeal against MCI. He requests time to submit a reply to the show cause notice from the Chairman, CDA, as well as a reply to the information request.

5. It is, however, brought to the knowledge of the Chairman, CDA, that one Mr. Khalid Mehmood Khan introduced himself as Additional Legal Advisor, MCI, and kept interfering in the proceedings on the wrong presumption that the appeal against MCI and the appeal against CDA on the same subject are synonymous. When the position was explained to him, he stated that he was not representing CDA. He was advised to take his seat as he was not relevant to the appeal in hand. Despite this, he showed undesirable conduct during the proceedings of the Commission, which cannot be ignored in the interest of maintaining

the decorum of this organization. The Commission exercised restraint and advised him to avoid interference in the work of the Commission, which otherwise is cognizable under Section 22(1)(c) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. This position is brought to the knowledge of the Chairman, CDA, for appropriate action and to avoid interference with the work of the Commission. A copy of the order be sent to the Chairman, CDA, for submission of its implementation report.

6. Adjourned to 19-11-2025

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 4342-12/2024

Irum Sabeen

Vs

Federal Ombudsperson Secretariat for Protection Against Harassment (FOSPAH)

November 12, 2025 Hafiz Muhammad Kaleem Ullah Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the public body has furnished certified copy of the order dated 23-10-2025 of the Islamabad High Court record in WP No. 4337 of 2025 wherein order of the Commission dated 24-09-2025 has been suspended. In view of above, the appeal is sine-die adjourned, however, if fat of the appeal is decided in favor of the applicant she may approach this Commission for the implementation of the order of the Commission.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 4952-09/2025

Tariq Badar

Vs

Quaid-e-Azam University

November 12, 2025 Imran Sadiq, Deputy Registrar (Admission), Atif Ishtiaq, Assistant Registrar (Admission) and Muhammad Ramzan Khan, Assistant Registrar (Legal), Quaid-e-Azam University Islamabad appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 08-10-2025, furnished the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd merit lists in respect of Admission Science MBA of QAU Admission Section. Copy of the said response was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 10-10-2025, who acknowledged its receipt but filed rejoinder requesting some other documents mentioned in Paras 1 and 2 of the rejoinder.

2. In response to the rejoinder of the applicant, the public body, vide letter dated 11-11-2025, has submitted the relevant record, with the further submission that no other record is available after the lapse of 20 years.

3. The Commission finds that no further proceedings are required in this appeal. Copy of the response, along with the relevant information furnished today, be shared with the applicant. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner